Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/650,174	PARCE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHRISTOPHER M. GROSS	1639	

		CHRISTOPHER M. GROSS	1639		
The MAILING DATE	of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, with places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
	res 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
		dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained and the proposal properties of the properties of time may be obtained and the appropriate extension fee name been filled in the date for proposes of obtaining the pend of retression and the corresponding amount of the file. The proposal of the proposa					
filing the Notice of Appeal Notice of Appeal has been	(37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to ithin the time period set forth in 37 C	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a	
AMENDMENTS					
		but prior to the date of filing a brief,		cause	
	es that would require further cor of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	E below);		
		w), ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucina or eimplifyina t	he iccuse for	
appeal; and/or	2 to place the application in bet	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or annipinying t	ne issues for	
	nal claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.		
NOTE: see attache	ed. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3	33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not	in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amend	ded claim(s) would be all	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	nt canceling the	
non-allowable claim(s).			•	•	
	claims would be rejected is prov	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-21.2					
Claim(s) withdrawn from or					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDER					
	provide a showing of good and	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi			
entered because the affida	wit or other evidence failed to o	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome all rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. See	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a	
 The affidavit or other evidence The affidavit or other evidence The affidavit or other evidence 		n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
11. X The request for reconside		t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
see attached. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:	and District of Charles				